## Fuji Electric

Innovating Energy Technology

## To Customers

0. PCN MT5ZGC00064

Production expansion at Fuji Electric Shenzhen

1. Scope of PCN

Improvement of production capacity and risk avoidance

## 2. Products to be affected

Product type name : $7^{\text {th }}$ generation "X-series" M276,M263,M274,M277

## 3. Description of the products changing and its evaluation results

3-1 Key points
(1) Chemicals \& Materials:

The chemicals \& materials to be used for the IGBT Module assembling in Fuji Electric Shenzhen (hereinafter SZF) are purchased with same spec as Fuji Electric Power Semiconductor Omachi Factory(hereinafter Omachi factory).
(2) Equipment :

All of the equipment and the test equipment provided for the production \& test process in SZF are the same design and performances as compared with Omachi factory. Please refer to table(1).
(3) Process \& Conditions :

The process flow, the process conditions and the control limits of the production in SZF are the same as in Omachi factory. Please refer to table(1).

3-2 Intension of the change
In order to correspond the customer's demand stably, Fuji completed for setting up the assembling production line in SZF in terms of the delivery flexibility and also avoiding the risks of disasters like an earthquake.

## 3-3 Qualification test results

(1) Electrical characteristics

As comparison results of VGE(th), VCE(sat) and VF between SZF and Omachi products, no obvious difference was confirmed. Please refer to fig.(1).
(2) Solder joint analysis

The solder joint layers under the DCB substrate and the chips were observed by using scanning acoustic tomography. As results, no obvious difference was confirmed. Please refer to photo(2),(3).
(3) AL-wire bonding characteristics

As comparison results of AL-wire shape and pull force test, no obvious difference was confirmed shown as photo(4).
(4) Reliability test results

Considering the influence of assembly, two kinds of reliability tests were selected and carried out. As a result, SZF products passed all the reliability tests.
(a) Environment test : Please refer to table(2).

## 4. Products changing schedule

We would like to start these changing from October 2021.
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| $\mathbf{( * 1 )}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 1 st 2 digit | Next 1 digit | Next 3 digit |  |
| Omachi products | Last 2 digit of product year | Product month | Production <br> LOT number |  |
| SZF products | Last 2 digit of product year | Product month | Production <br> LOT number |  |


(*3)

|  | 3 digit |
| :--- | :--- |
| Omachi products | Serial number in the production Lot |
| SZF products | Serial number in the production Lot |

Photo(1) Indication on Module

Table(1) Process comparison between Omachi and SZF



Fig(1) Comparison results of electrical characteristic

Sample 2MBI600XHA120-50

|  | Products made in Omachi | Products made in SZF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solder joint analysis (Under the DCB) |  |  |

Photo(2) Comparison results of solder joint analysis(Under the DCB)

Sample 2MBI600XHA120-50


Photo(3) Comparison results of solder joint analysis(Under the chips)

|  | Products made in Omachi |  |  | Products made in SZF |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aluminum wire junction form comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tensile strength (gf) | Sample No | Pulling strength(gf) | Failure mode | Sample No | Pulling strength(gf) | Failure mode |
|  | No1 | 1034 | C | No1 | 1036 | C |
|  | No2 | 1038 | C | No2 | 1051 | C |
|  | No3 | 1060 | C | No3 | 1032 | C |
|  | No4 | 1059 | C | No4 | 1023 | C |
|  | No5 | 1031 | C | No5 | 1030 | C |
|  | No6 | 1068 | C | No6 | 1049 | C |
|  | No7 | 1063 | C | No7 | 1038 | C |
|  | No8 | 1056 | C | No8 | 1037 | C |
|  | No9 | 1027 | C | No9 | 1021 | C |
|  | No10 | 1028 | C | No10 | 1027 | C |
|  | Ave | 1046 |  | Ave | 1034.4 |  |
|  | $\sigma$ | 16.2 |  | $\sigma$ | 10.0 |  |

(Target:Pulling strength>=800gf)

## Photo(4) Comparison results of AL-wire bonding characteristics

Failure mode


Mode A: Lifted off from the joint interface
Mode C: Broken at the middle of wire


Mode B: Broken at the neck of the bond joint

Table(2) Reliability test results

| Test categories | Test items |  | Test methods and conditions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reference } \\ & \text { norms } \\ & \text { JEITA } \\ & \text { ED-4701 } \end{aligned}$ | Test result |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number of Sample |  | Number of failure |
|  | 1 | Temperature Cycle |  | Test temp. $:$Low temp. $-40+0 /-10$ deg.C <br> High temp. $125+15 /-0$ deg.C <br> Dwell time $:$ High $\sim$ Low <br>  $70 \mathrm{~min} . ~ 70 \mathrm{~min}$. <br> Number of cycles $:$ 100 cycles | Test Method 105A | 5 | 0 |
|  | 2 | Temperature Humidity Bias (IGBT/FWD) | Test temp. $: 85+/-2$ deg.C <br> Relative humidity $: 85+/-5 \%$ <br> Bias voltage $:$ VCE $=0.8 \times$ VCES <br> Bias method $:$ Applied $D C$ voltage to C-E <br>  VGE $=0 \mathrm{~V}$ <br> Test duration $: 1000 \mathrm{hrs}$. | Test Meth od 102A <br> Condition code C | 5 | 0 |

Table(3) Failure Criteria

| Item | Characteristic |  | Symbol | Failure criteria |  | Unit | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower limit | Upper limit |  |  |
| Electrical | Leakage current |  |  | $I$ CES | - | USL×2 | uA |  |
|  | Gate leakage current |  | $\pm I \mathrm{GES}$ | - | USL×2 | nA |  |
|  | Gate threshold voltage |  | $V \mathrm{GE}$ (th) | LSLX0.8 | USLX1.2 | V |  |
| characteristic | Saturation voltage |  | $V C E($ sat $)$ | - | USLX1.2 | V |  |
|  | Forward voltage |  | $V \mathrm{~F}$ | - | USLX1.2 | V |  |
|  | Thermal resistance | IGBT | $\triangle V C E$ | - | USLX1.2 | mV |  |
|  |  | FWD | $\Delta V F$ | - | USLX1.2 | mV |  |
|  | Isolation voltage |  | $V$ iso | Broken insulation |  | - |  |
| Visual inspection | Visual inspection <br> Peeling <br> Plating <br> and the others |  | - | The visua | I sample | - |  |

LSL : Lower specified limit.
USL : Upper specified limit.

Note : Each parameter measurement read-outs shall be made after stabilizing the components at room ambient for 2 hours minimum, 24 hours maximum after removal from the tests. And in case of the wetting tests, for example, moisture resistance tests, each component shall be made wipe or dry completely before the measurement.

